Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/454,278	PHAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cary E. O'Connor	3732
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):	
(1) Cary E. O'Connor.	(3)	
(2) <u>James Heslin</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 June 2001.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representati	ve]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>5 and 6</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Lemchen and Abbatt	te .	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached	d. g)□ was not reached. h)	□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the gener reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed the different Lemchen does not teach the step of providing a digital material.</u> It was agreed that claims 5 and 6 are patentable	nces between claims 5, 6 and L nodel of both a dental feature al	emchen. It was agreed that
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments that	
 i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a checked). 	separate record of the substan	ce of the interview(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOMUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIENT ACTION has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ON STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIENT	W. (See MPEP Section 713.04 NE MONTH FROM THIS INTER	4). If a reply to the last Office RVIEW DATE TO FILE A
	Caux	EO Connor
	Cary E Prima	E. O'Connor ry Examiner
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sig	nature, if required